This article was downloaded by: On: 19 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

To cite this Article Butte, Werner , Kirsch, Maria and Denker, Jürgen(1983) 'The Determination of Pentachlorophenol and Tetrachlorophenols in Wadden Sediment and Clams (Myaarenaria) Using Triethylsulfonium Hydroxide for Extraction and Pyrolytic Ethylation', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 13: 2, 141 — 153

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067318308071589 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067318308071589>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Inrrm. J. Enuimn. Ad. Chn, **1983,** Vol **13, pp 141-153 0306-7319/83/1302-0141 S06.50/0** *0* **Gordon and Breach** Science **PUMishcrs Inc., ¹⁹⁸³ Printed in Great Britain**

The Determination of Pentachlorophenol and Tetrachlorophenols in Wadden Sediment and Clams *(Mya arenaria)* Using Triethylsulfonium **Hydroxide for Extraction and Pyrolytic Ethylationt**

WERNER BUTTE, MARIA KIRSCH AND JURGEN DENKER *Faculty of Chemistry, Oldenburg University, D 2900 Oldenburg, FRG*

(Received April 15, 1982)

A method to determine the concentration of pentachlorophenol and tetrachlorophenols in wadden **sediments** and clams **is** described. **This** method involves the extraction of lyophilized specimens with toluene under acidic conditions and the back extraction of **the** chlorophenols into a methanol/water solution of triethylsulfonium hydroxide. Upon injection of the methanol/water phase into the gaschromatograph a pyrolytic ethylation is performed and the ethylethers of pentachlorophenol and tetrachlorophenols formed thereby are separated in **quartz** capillary columns and detected by an electron capture detector. Using tribromophenol as internal standard the recovery rates for the chlorophenols were within the range of 76.7 and 98.8%. The method described does not require any evaporation **or** chromatographic clean-up steps. The detection limit was found to be 2 nmol/kg ($\sim 500 \text{ ng/kg}$) for sediment and 0.1 μ mol/kg (~25 μ g/kg) for clams. Its accuracy was verified by gaschromatography-mass spectrometry experiments.

KEY WORDS: Pentachlorophenol, tetrachlorophenol, triethylsulfonium hydroxide, pyrolytic ethylation.

^{?€&#}x27;resented at the 12th Annual Symposium **on** the Analytical Chemistry of Pollutants, Amsterdam, April, 1982.

^INTR 0 D U CTlO N

Pentachlorophenol is a priority pollutant according to a high annual production rate of about 100,OOO tons' and its persistance, which has resulted in contamination of water, $2-4$ sediment^{5,6} and aquatic organisms.^{5,7-10} It is used extensively as fungicide, bactericide, insecticide, herbicide and wood preservative. The total amount of chlorophenols produced is even higher, exceeding 200,000 tons per year.¹¹

Apart from the global pollution caused by these substances, local phenomena give reason for growing concern. Such case study was the starting point for the investigation presented here.

In the years from 1965-1978 waste water of a paper mill containing pentachlorophenol (PCP) was drained off into the mud flat of the "Jadebusen", a bight of the German shore. During this time about 26 tons of PCP were discharged. In November 1978 the use of PCP was stopped.

The goal of our investigation was to determine the concentration of PCP in wadden sediment and clams and to characterize and quantify its metabolites. These were supposed to be tetrachlorophenols (TeCPs), especially 2.3.4.5TeCP and 2.3.5.6TeCP that are known to be formed under anaerobic conditions.^{12, 13} 2.3.4.6 TeCP may be a constituent of the waste water, since it is known to be a technical impurity in PCP.¹⁴

To identify and quantify PCP in ecological matrices like sediments and organisms various methods have been described. Most of them rely on gas liquid chromatography (GLC) with electron capture detection (ECD). For the GLC separation derivatization with acetanhydride, $2,3,15$ trimethylchlorosilane,¹⁶ diazomethane^{9, 10, 16-18} and diazoethane^{5, 19} has been reported. For clean up acid alumina,^{9,17,18} silica gel,¹⁸ Florisil,^{10,19} anion exchange resins⁵ or even steam destillation⁶ has been used. However, these methods are rather time consuming or use hazardous reagents like diazo compounds. Taking our studies with pyrolytic alkylation reagents as a basis,²⁰ we would like to report here accuracy, precision, detection **limits** and recovery rates for a very simple method to analyse PCP and TeCPs in sediments and clams with Triethylsulfonium Hydroxide (TESH) as an extraction and derivatization reagent.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Gas liquid chromatography

Apparatus A Varian (3700) and a Siemens (L 402) gaschromatograph, both equipped with a capillary injection block and an ECD (⁶³Ni) were used for the analytical work. Capillary quartz columns (Chrompack) of 25 m length and an I.D. of 0.2 mm coated with CP $51tm$ and OV 101 were applied for the separation.

Conditions Injection Port temp.: 250°C, detector temp.: 300°C, carrier gas: hydrogen with a linear flow of 50 cm/sec , injection mode: split $(1:50)$ or splitless, make up gas: nitrogen (Varian 20ml/min, Siemens: **40** ml/min).

Column temperatures: OV 101: 3 min at 120°C , 5°C/min to 200°C . CP 51? *5* min at 14O"C, 5"C/min to 190°C.

Calculations The PCP- and TeCP-concentrations in the samples were calculated using the peak height ratio between the chlorophenolethylethers and the **2.4.6** tribromophenolethylether. 2.4.6 tribromophenol (TBP) served as internal standard.

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) experiments were performed with a Varian 3700GC coupled directly to a Varian 44s quadropole mass spectrometer equipped with a Varian SS 200 data system. GC conditions were as described above using helium instead of hydrogen as a carrier gas with a linear flow of 20cm/sec. MS conditions were: Source 70 eV, emission current: $0.5 \mu A$, ion source temp.: 200 °C. Measurements were performed in the total ion current (TIC) mode as well as in the multiple ion selection (MIS) mode.

Reagents

All reagents were analytical grade (E. Merck). Toluene was further purified by shaking it twice with one tenth the volume of 1 mol/l sodiumhydroxide.

Preparation of TESH Triethylsulfoniumiodide (Alfa/Ventron) was dissolved in methanol/water $(7 + 3)$ to form a 0.02 mol/l solution. This was stirred with an equimolar amount of silveroxide (E. Merck) for 1 hour at room temperature. The solution of TESH was decanted off from the silveriodide precipitate and stored at 4°C. Under these conditions it is stable at least for **6** months.

Preparation and extraction of the samples

Wadden sediment and clams *(Mya arenaria)* were lyophilized. After homogenizing the wadden sediment in a mortar the samples were

subjected to sieving, yielding in a ≤ 1 mm (whole fraction) and a $\leq 63 \,\mu\text{m}$ fraction (silt). Particles larger than 1 mm were discarded. Clams (without shells) were homogenized in a ball mill.

Extraction of PCP and TeCPs from wadden sediment and clams.

Samples of the wadden sediment and of the clams were extracted according to Scheme 1. It should be noted that some samples were hydrolized by heating them at *95°C* under strong acidic conditions for one hour.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Separation of PCP and TeCPs

The GLC separation and quantification of traces of TeCPs and PCP without derivatization is not recommended because these compounds are rather strong acids (pK_a of PCP = 4.74; pK_a of TeCPs = $5.03 - 5.64$)²¹ and may be adsorbed irreproducably during the chromatographic separation. To derivatize the chlorophenols we used pyrolytic ethylation with TESH, a proposal for the reaction mechanism is given in Figure 1. Choosing TBP as an internal standard, the retention times of **pentachlorophenolethylether, tetrachlorophenolethylethers** and tribrornophenolethylether are identical to the retention times of PCP, TeCPs and TBP after pyrolytic ethylation (see Figure **2).** However, **2.3.4.6-** . and **2.3.5.6** TeCP could not be separated, neither with the **OV** 101 coated quartz capillary column nor with the CP51tm column. Recovery experiments were therefore performed with **2.3.5.6** TeCP only.

FIGURE 1 Reaction of PCP with TESH.

TESH as an extraction and derivatization reagent

To separate the chlorophenols from organic and anorganic components the sediment or clam samples, suspended in water, were extracted with an organic solvent under acidic conditions. This solvent may be hexaneisopropanol^{6,15} or benzene.^{10,17} Like Stark¹⁶ we used toluene, because it is less toxic than benzene and the solubility of TeCPs and PCP is better in toluene than hexane.

To separate the acidic components, like PCP and TeCPs, from the neutral compounds in the toluene phase a back extraction into an alkaline solution is advisable. We used methanol/water $(7 + 3)$ containing 0.02 mol/l TESH (with a pK_b of 12.0^{20}) to extract the acidic compounds into a

FIGURE 2 Chromatograms of the ECD-GLC analysis of the ethylethers of PCP (I), 2.3.4.5 TeCP **(2),** TBP **(3),** 2.3.5.6TeCP (4) and 2.3.4.6TeCP *(5)* respectively.

TABLE I

Yields for the extraction/ethylation of 2.3.5.6 TeCP *to* its ethylether by TESH.

Given are the **peak** height ratio of 2.3.5.6TeCP **to** TBP for the extraction and ethylation from toluene into methanol/water $(7+3)$, containing TESH, 0.02 mol/l) compared to the peak height ratio
of 2.3.5.6 tetrachlorophenolethylether to t **tetrachlorophenolethylether to** tribromophenolethylether. Concentrations of TBP or tribromophenolethylether were: 40 **nmol/l (see also** figure 3, $n = 6$).

solvent not mixable with toluene (see Figure **3). As** demonstrated in Table 1 for **2.3.5.6** TeCP there is a constant ratio in the yield for the extraction and ethylation of TeCPs and PCP if TBP is used as an internal standard.

FIGURE 3 Extraction of chlorophenols (e.g. PCP) from toluene into methanol/water with TESH.

Recovery experiments

PCP is known to be volatile upon steam distillation.²² By lyophilizing and reconstituting water samples of $pH=8$ spiked with 40 nmol/l PCP and TeCPs we found that there was no reduction of the chlorophenol concentration caused by the freeze drying process. To evaluate the recovery rates, samples were extracted according to Scheme 1 and analyzed by GLC with and without the addition of 4Onmol/kg (wadden sediment) or $2.5 \mu \text{mol/kg}$ (clam). The results are listed in Table 2. Results

ВI ш ш	
--------------	--

Recovery rates $\binom{9}{0}$ for chlorophenols from wadden sediment and clam.

Listed are the *mean value* and (\pm) the 95% confidence interval.

148 W. BUTTE, M. KIRSCH AND J. DENKER

for samples, that were hydrolized are compiled in Table **3.** Recovery rates for TeCPs and **PCP** were between **76.7%** and **87.0%** for specimens not hydrolized and between **84.5%** and **98.8%** for hydrolized samples.

TABLE Ill

Recovery rates (%) **for chlorophenols from wadden sediment and clams (hydrolized specimens).**

40 nmol/kg of the chlorophenols were added to 2g of wadden sediment, $2.5 \mu m o$ l/kg to 50 mg **of clam respectively.**

Listed are the mean value and (\pm) the 95% confidence interval.

Precision, accuracy and detection limits

The precision for the method described may be obtained from Tables **2** and **3** regarding the **95%** confidence interval (CI). The CI for a mean value of *n* measurements is connected to the standard deviation **s** by: **CI** $=s \cdot t/\sqrt{n}$, with t being the value of the two-sided t-statistics. Thus, standard deviations for a 4Onmol/kg concentration of **PCP** and TeCPs in wadden sediment were 2nmol/kg. The standard deviation for a **2.5** pmol/kg concentration in clams was **0.12** pmol/kg. Therefore precision between runs had a coefficient of variation of about 5% .

The detection limit, calculated as a peak greater than **3** fold the base noise, was estimated as 2 nmol/kg ($\sim 500 \text{ ng/kg}$) for wadden sediment and 0.1 μ mol/kg $(\sim 25 \mu g/kg)$ for clams. With some modifications in the extraction procedure or with an evaporation step it will be possible to increase the sensitivity at least by a factor of **10.**

The identification of the PCP- and TeCP-ethylether peaks was possible regarding the identical retention times obtained with two separation phases of different polarity (OV **101** and **CP 51tm).** Definite evidence was received from GC-MS experiments using the **MIS** mode. The highly chlorinated phenols have a characteristic ratio of isotopes, because of the natural ratio of 35Cl and 37Cl **(3:l).** The mass spectrum of the ethylether of **PCP** (see Figure **4)** shows molecule ions of **292/294/296** and **298** with the even more intense fragment 'ions **26412661268** and **270** produced

FIGURE **4** Mass spectrum of **pentachlorophenolethylether.**

through the split off of ethylene. The corresponding highly intense fragment ions of the ethylethers of the TeCPs are 230/232 and 234. As demonstrated in Figure 5, the ions of the reference samples and the ethylated extracts from sediment have identical retention times.

GLC analyses of extracts from wadden sediment and clams

Examples for the GLC separation of TeCPs and PCP from an extract of wadden sediment and of a clam are given in Figure 6 and Figure 7 respectively. Using the working up procedure discussed above, neutral substances that could interfere with the gas chromatographic separation of TeCPs and PCP are excluded. Acidic compounds, other than chlorophenols, being detectable by an ECD, were not mentioned.

PCP concentrations near the end of the sewage tube were between 20 and 60 nmol/kg for wadden sediment and between 1 and 12μ mol/kg for clams (values on dry weight basis). Concentrations for 2.3.4.5 TeCP were between **100** and 140 nmol/kg in wadden sediments and 2 and $200 \mu \text{mol/kg}$ for clams, whereas the concentrations for 2.3.5.6/2.3.4.6 TeCP did not exceed the detection limit.

FIGURE 5 Chromatograms obtained in the MIS mode for pentachlorophenolethylether (m/e: 266/264/262) and tetrachlorophenolethylethers (m/e: 234/232/230). A.: Standards, B.; Extract from wadden sediment after pyrolytic ethylation using TESH.

 \bar{z}

FIGURE 6 Chromatogram of the ECD-GLC analysis of a toluene extract from wadden sediment (splitless injection) compared to reference substances: 2.3.5.6 TeCP (l), PCP *(2),* **TBP (3), 3.4.5 trichlorophenol (4) and 2.3.4.5 TeCP (5)**

FIGURE 7 Chromatogram of the ECD-GLC analysis of a toluene extract of a clam (hydrolized specimen) compared to reference substances: 2.3.5.6 TeCP (l), PCP (2), **TBP (3), 3.4.5 trichlorophenol (4) and 2.3.4.5 TeCP (5).**

 \pm

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathcal{I}

A detailed discussion of TeCP and PCP concentrations for specimens obtained near the end of the sewage tube and from reference places of the "Jadebusen" also regarding the effect of hydrolysis will be published elsewhere.²³

Acknowledgement

We are greatly obliged to Dr. K. Dorner **(Universitatskinderklinik,** Kiel), in whose laboratory the GC-MS experiments were **performed.** We also thank Mrs. J. Husmann for her excellent work and technical competence.

This study was supported by the "Bundesminister für Forschung und Technologie", FRG under No. 3891-MFU **501** (head: Prof. T. Hopner).

References

- 1. R. **S.** Detrick, *Forest* Prod. J. **27,** 13 (1977).
- 2. W. Ernst and K. Weber, *Vercff. Inst. Meeresforsch. Bremerh.* **17,** 45 (1978).
- 3. K. Weber and W. Ernst, *Chemosphere* **7,** 873 (1978).
- 4. R. C. C. Wegman and A. W. M. Hofstee, Wafer *Res.* 13, 651 (1979).
- 5. J. Paasivirta, J. Sarkka, T. Leskijarvi and **A.** Roos, *Chemosphere* 9, 441 (1980).
- 6. G. Eder and K. Weber, *Chemosphere* 9, 11 1 (1980).
- 7. V. Zitko, 0. Hutzinger and P. M. K. Choi, Bull. *Environrn. Contam. Toxicol.* 12, 649 (1974).
- 8. W. Ernst and K. Weber, *Chemosphere* **7,** 867 (1978).
- 9. H. E. Murray, G. **S.** Neff, Y. Hrung and G. **S.** Giam, Bull. *Environm.* Contam. *Toxicof. 25,* 663 (1980).
- **10.** G. H. Takei and G. H. Leong, Bull. *Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 27,489 (1981).
- 11. J.-0. Levin and C.-A. Nilsson, *Chemosphere* 6, 443 (1977).
- 12. *S.* Kuwatsuka and M. Igarashi, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 21, 405 (1975).
- 13. N. B. K. Murthy, D. D. Kaufman and G. F. Fries, J. *Environm. Sci. Health* **814,** ¹ $(1979).$
- **14.** F. I. Onuska and M. E. Comba, J. *High Res. Chrom.* & *Chrom. Comrnun.* 1978,209.
- 15. L. Rudling, *Warer* Res. **4,** 533 (1970).
- 16. **A.** Stark, *J.* Agr. *Food Chem.* 17, 871 (1969).
- 17. **T.** R. Edgerton and R. F. Moseman, J. *Agr. Food Chem.* **27,** 199 (1979). '
- 18. L. L. Lamparski, M. L. Langhorst, T. J. Nestrick and S. Cutié, J. Assoc. Off. Anal. *Chem.* 63,27 (1980).
- 19. G. W. Bruns and R. A. Currie, J. Assoc. *Off. Anal. Chem.* 63, *56* (1980).
- 20 W. Butte, J. Eilers and M. Kirsch, Anal. *Left.* **15,** A(10), in press.
- 21. J. Drahonovsky and *Z.* Vacek, *CON. Czechoslov. Chem. Commun. 36,* 3431 (1971).
- 22. I. Gebefugi, H. Parlar and F. Korte, *Ecofox.* & *Environm. Saf.* **3,** 269 (1979).
- 23. W. Butte, M. Kirsch, J. Denker and T. Höpner, to be published in: Environm. Poll.

EAC- C